# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

### IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,201	04/02/2002	Michael Chopp	1059.00063	4921
7590 11/19/2003			EXAMI	NER
Kenneth I Kohn			JAGOE, DONNA A	
Kohn & Associa	ates		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
30500 Northwestern Highway			1614	^^
Farmington Hills, MI 48334			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003	$\sim$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
~	10/018,201	CHOPP ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Donna Jagoe	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty story period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON title. by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. JANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed						
, <del>_</del>	)☐ This action is non-final.					
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice</li> </ol>						
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a						
Applicant may not request that any objecti						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of John F 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		2.440(-) (-1) (5)				
	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap f the priority documents have been	pplication No				
application from the Internationa  * See the attached detailed Office action 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	for a list of the certified copies not r					
since a specific reference was included 37 CFR 1.78.						
a) The translation of the foreign lang						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for reference was included in the first sente						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	O-948) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 1614

#### Claims 1-8 are pending in this application.

#### Response to Amendment

Objection of claims 7 and 8 is no longer maintained in view of the amendment.

The Examiner is in agreement with the persuasive remarks submitted concerning the outstanding 35 USC 112 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph rejection in paper number 8 in view of which the rejection is hereby withdrawn.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed July 18, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

1. The rejection made in paper number 8 over Moskowitz '940 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) is maintained and is hereby repeated.

Applicant asserts that while the therapeutic approach of Moskowitz is the reduction of cerebral infarction by lack of blood flow in the brain, the instant application induces brain remodeling and restoring neurological function. The examiner is not in agreement with this assertion. Since the same agent is being administered under the same conditions in the prior art as in the instant claims, the general promotion of neurogenesis must inevitably occur in each case. The action of the nitric oxide donor would inherently restore neurologic function in addition to increasing the blood flow to reduce the size of the infarct. If applicant is getting results that differ from the prior art by administering the same compound to the same population, it must be due to some

Art Unit: 1614

limitation that is not in the claims. Regarding the timing of the administration of the compositions of Moskowitz, it is stated that the compounds can be administered before, during or after a stroke. Additionally, applicant asserts that the compounds of the instant application are drawn to methods of remodeling and restoring neurological function independent of the volume of the infarction. On page 5, lines 20-25 of the instant application, applicant defines "promoting neurogenesis" as neural growth being promoted or enhanced. This includes new neuronal growth, enhanced growth of existing neurons as well as growth and proliferation of parenchymal cells and cells that promote tissue plasticity. The following is a definition for parenchyma from Stedman's medical dictionary:

1. The distinguishing or specific cells of a gland or organ, contained in and supported by the connective tissue framework, or stroma. 2. The endoplasm of a protozoan cell. [G. anything poured in beside, fr. parencheo,1 to pour in beside] p. glandulae thyroideae [TA] SYN: p. of thyroid gland. p. prostatae [TA] SYN: p. of prostate. p. of prostate [TA] the basis cellular tissue (substance) composing the prostate. SYN: p. prostatae [TA] . p. testis [TA] SYN: p. of testis. p. of testis [TA] the basic cellular tissue substance composing the testis, consisting of

Art Unit: 1614

the seminiferous tubules and interstitial cells (Leydig and Sertoli cells) located within the lobules. SYN: p. testis [TA] . p. of thyroid gland [TA] the basic cellular tissue (substance) composing the thyroid gland, organized as follicles. SYN: p. glandulae thyroideae

It appears that applicant's definition for promoting neurogenesis does not necessarily mean neurogenesis, but rather, can be defined by proliferation of parenchymal cells (that are not neurons).

2. The rejection of claim 6 made in paper number 8 over Bredt et al is maintained and is hereby repeated. Applicant appears to object to the absence of a secondary reference for the outstanding 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection. The rejection was made a 103(a) rejection because the prior art (Bredt et al.) does not specifically teach production of neurons. However, relying on applicants disclosure, the definition of neurogenesis includes synaptogenesis. Bredt et al. teach NO donors potentiate synaptic transmission. A secondary reference is not needed because all elements are present. It is merely a difference of nomenclature. Regarding the assertion that synaptogenesis is the formation of new synapses, this is not defined in the instant specification.

Regarding applicants assertion that there are additional claims that have not been rejected, in summary,

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 1-8 are pending in this application.

Claims 1-5 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moskowitz '940.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as being obvious over Bredt et al.

All art rejections are maintained and hereby repeated.

#### Conclusion

**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donna Jagoe whose telephone number is (703) 306-

Art Unit: 1614

5826. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00

A.M. - 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Donna Jagoe Patent Examiner Page 6

Art Unit 1614

Frederick Krass Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614\_